

General Information (Origin of Request)		
<input type="checkbox"/> User Requirements (URD) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other User Functional or Technical Documentation (SYS)		
Request raised by: 4CB	Institute: 4CB	Date raised: 11/09/2015
Request title: Non-editorial Change Request on the UHB		Request ref. no: T2S 0527 SYS
Request type: Common	Urgency: Normal	
1. Legal/business importance parameter: Low	2. Market implementation efforts parameter: Low	
3. Operational/Technical risk parameter: Low	4. Financial impact parameter: No cost impact	
Requestor Category: 4CB	Status: Authorised at Steering Level	

Reason for change and expected benefits/business motivation:

The changes listed below are relevant for the UHB to perform some functional alignments that are needed for improved software consistency.

- 1 EUROSISTEM UPDATE: page1146-1149 (UHB Section 2.5.9.10 Restriction Type Rule Sets – List Screen), page 1152-1154 (UHB Section 2.5.9.11 Restriction Type Rule – Details Screen), page 1157-1166 (UHB Section 2.5.9.12 Restriction Type Rule – New/Edit Screen), page 2389 (UHB Section 6.4.2.141 Restriction Type Rule – Details Screen (References for Error Messages)), pages 2393, 2403 (UHB Section 6.4.2.142 Restriction Type Rule – New/Edit Screen (References for Error Messages)), page 2412 (UHB Section 6.4.2.143 Restriction Type Rule Sets – List Screen (References for Error Messages)): new business rules for sequence number uniqueness 2
- 2 EUROSISTEM UPDATE: page 2417 (UHB Section 6.4.2.144 Restriction Type – Search/List Screen (References for Error Messages)): amendment of business rule for restore..... 4

Description of requested change:

Non-editorial changes regarding UHB to:

- Enforce the uniqueness of the Sequence Number for Restriction Type Rules and align the behaviour of Restriction Types to other rule-based models in this respect.
- Align the behaviour of the restore function for Restriction Type to the corresponding rule for Restriction Type creation (DRCL007) regarding the constraints on restoring currently active Restriction Types.

Submitted annexes / related documents

Proposed wording for the Change request:

1 EUROSYSTEM UPDATE: page1146-1149 (UHB Section 2.5.9.10 Restriction Type Rule Sets – List Screen), page 1152-1154 (UHB Section 2.5.9.11 Restriction Type Rule – Details Screen), page 1157-1166 (UHB Section 2.5.9.12 Restriction Type Rule – New/Edit Screen), page 2389 (UHB Section 6.4.2.141 Restriction Type Rule – Details Screen (References for Error Messages)), pages 2393, 2403 (UHB Section 6.4.2.142 Restriction Type Rule – New/Edit Screen (References for Error Messages)), page 2412 (UHB Section 6.4.2.143 Restriction Type Rule Sets – List Screen (References for Error Messages)): new business rules for sequence number uniqueness

There is no check that enforces the uniqueness of the Sequence Number for Restriction Type Rules. New business rules are needed for this. This would align the behaviour of Restriction Types to that of other rule-based models, as described in business rules DRCF003/DRUF005/DRDF005 for Message Subscription and DRCO040/DRUO040/DRDO040 for CoSD.

UHB Chapter 2.5.9.10 Restriction Type Rule Sets – List Screen, page 1146

Restriction Sequence Number Shows the order in which the rules are processed within the relevant rule set.
Reference for error message [2411]:
 I DRDX004

UHB Chapter 2.5.9.10 Restriction Type Rule Sets – List Screen, page 1149

Restore This function enables you to restore the selected restriction type rule.
 Next screen:
 I *Restriction type rule sets – list* screen
 References for error messages [2411]:
 I DRDX004
 I DRDX009
 I DRDX010
 I DRDX011
 I DRDX044
 I DRDX045
 I DRDX200
 I DRDX310

UHB Chapter 2.5.9.11 Restriction Type Rule – Details Screen, page 1152

Restriction Sequence Number Shows the order in which the rules are processed within the relevant rule set.
Reference for error message [2388]:
 I DRDX004

UHB Chapter 2.5.9.11 Restriction Type Rule – Details Screen, page 1154

Restore This function enables you to restore the selected restriction type rule.
 References for error messages [2388]:
 I DRDX001
 I DRDX003
 I DRDX004
 I DRDX006
 I DRDX009
 I DRDX010
 I DRDX011
 I DRDX044

- I DRDX045
- I DRDX200
- I DRDX300
- I DRDX310

UHB Chapter 2.5.9.12 Restriction Type Rule – New/Edit Screen, page 1157

Restriction Sequence Number* Enter the order in which the rules are processed within the relevant rule set.
Required format is: Integer
References for error messages [2393]:
I DRCX004
I DRUX005

UHB Chapter 2.5.9.12 Restriction Type Rule – New/Edit Screen, page 1166

Submit This function enables you to create or edit a restriction type rule according to the information entered in the fields.
Next screen:
I Restriction type rule – details screen [1150]
References for error messages [2393]:
I DRCX001
I DRCX002
I DRCX004
I DRCX005
I DRCX006
I DRCX007
I DRCX008
I DRCX010
I DRCX011
I DRCX012
I DRCX013
I DRCX045
I DRCX050
I DRCX200
I DRCX300
I DRCX310
I DRCX320
I DRCX330
I DRCX600
I DRUX001
I DRUX002
I DRUX003
I DRUX004
I DRUX005
I DRUX008
I DRUX009
I DRUX010
I DRUX011
I DRUX012
I DRUX013
I DRUX044
I DRUX050
I DRUX200
I DRUX300
I DRUX310
I DRUX320
I DRUX330
I DRUX600

UHB Chapter 6.4.2.141 Restriction Type Rule – Details Screen (References for Error Messages), page 2389

Reference for error message	Field or Button	Error Text	Description
<u>DRDX004</u>	<u>I Restriction Sequence Number field</u> <u>I Restore button</u>	<u>Rule Sequence already assigned</u>	<u>When performing a Restriction Type Rule Restore request, the Rule Sequence specified must not be already assigned in the relevant Restriction Type.</u>

UHB Chapter 6.4.2.142 Restriction Type Rule – New/Edit Screen (References for Error Messages), pages 2393, 2403

Reference for error message	Field or Button	Error Text	Description
<u>DRCX004</u>	<u>I Restriction Sequence Number field</u> <u>I Submit button</u>	<u>Rule Sequence already assigned</u>	<u>When performing a Restriction Type Rule Create request, the Rule Sequence specified must not be already assigned in the relevant Restriction Type.</u>
<u>DRUX005</u>	<u>I Restriction Sequence Number field</u> <u>I Submit button</u>	<u>Rule Sequence already assigned</u>	<u>When performing a Restriction Type Rule Update request, the Rule Sequence specified must not be already assigned in the relevant Restriction Type.</u>

UHB Chapter 6.4.2.143 Restriction Type Rule Sets – List Screen (References for Error Messages), page 2412

Reference for error message	Field or Button	Error Text	Description
<u>DRDX004</u>	<u>I Restriction Sequence Number field</u> <u>I Restore button</u>	<u>Rule Sequence already assigned</u>	<u>When performing a Restriction Type Rule Restore request, the Rule Sequence specified must not be already assigned in the relevant Restriction Type.</u>

2 EUROSYSTEM UPDATE: page 2417 (UHB Section 6.4.2.144 Restriction Type – Search/List Screen (References for Error Messages)): amendment of business rule for restore

The business rule does not place any constraints on restoring currently active Restriction Types, which can lead to inconsistent behaviours. This change aligns the behaviour of the restore function to the corresponding rule for Restriction Type creation (DRCL007).

UHB Chapter 6.4.2.144 Restriction Type – Search/List Screen (References for Error Messages), page 2417

Reference for error message	Field or Button	Error Text	Description
<u>DRDL004</u>	<u>I Status field</u> <u>I Restore Button</u> <u>I Delete party</u>	<u>Data to be updated not found</u>	<u>When performing a Restriction Type restore request, it must refer to an existing and deleted instance with a past Valid To or future Valid From. For Restriction Types with Restriction Processing Type 'Rejection' or 'CSD Validation Hold' the Valid From must be at least two days in the future. The T2S Operator can skip this check in contingency situations.</u>

High level description of Impact:

Outcome/Decisions:

- * CRG meeting of 17-18 September 2015: The CRG recommended the approval of the Change Request and its inclusion to the scope of Release 1.2.
- * PMG meeting on 13 January 2016: During a written procedure from 30 December 2015 to 13 January 2016, the Project Managers Group was in favour of adding the Change Request to Release 1.2.
- * OMG on 13 January 2016: During a written procedure from 30 December 2015 to 13 January 2016, the Operations Managers Group did not identify any operational impact. The OMG also was in favour of adding the Change Request to Release 1.2.
- * Advisory Group's advice on 21 January 2016: The AG was in favour of approving the Change Request and including it in Release 1.2.
- * CSD Steering Group's resolution on 22 January 2016: The CSG took the resolution to approve the Change Request and to include it in Release 1.2.
- * CRG meeting of 8-9 February 2016: The CRG recommended to anticipate the Change Request and move it from the T2S Release 1.2 to the T2S Release 1.1.5.
- * PMG teleconference on 12 February 2016: The Project Managers Group was in favour to anticipate the Change Request and move it from the T2S Release 1.2 to the T2S Release 1.1.5.
- * OMG on 12 February 2016: During a written procedure from 8 February 2016 to 12 February 2016, the Operations Managers Group was in favour to anticipate the Change Request and move it from the T2S Release 1.2 to the T2S Release 1.1.5.
- * Advisory Group's advice on 26 February 2016: Following a written procedure from 19 to 26 February 2016, the AG was in favour of the Change Request. The AG also was in favour of moving the Change Request from the T2S Release 1.2 to the T2S Release 1.1.5.
- * CSG's resolution on 27 February 2016: Following a written procedure from 19 to 27 February 2016, the CSG adopted the resolution to approve the Change Request. The CSG also adopted the resolution to move the Change Request from the T2S Release 1.2 to the T2S Release 1.1.5.