

The Reserve Supply Channel of Unconventional Monetary Policy

W. Diamond
Wharton School

Z. Jiang
Kellogg School of
Management

Y. Ma
Columbia Business
School

12 September 2022

Agnese Leonello ¹
European Central Bank

¹The views expressed here represent my own, and are not necessarily those of the European Central Bank or the Eurosystem.

- ▶ A defining characteristic of quantitative easing (QE) is the accumulation of reserves at the central bank (CB)
 - ▶ Reserves created through QE must be held by banks
 - ▶ Under some circumstances, QE translates into an expansion of banks' balance sheets
-

- ▶ A defining characteristic of quantitative easing (QE) is the accumulation of reserves at the central bank (CB)
- ▶ Reserves created through QE must be held by banks
 - ▶ Under some circumstances, QE translates into an expansion of banks' balance sheets
- ▶ **Question:** Does the increase in reserve supply matter for bank lending?

- ▶ **Main Finding:** Increase in reserve supply from 2008-2017 crowded out 19 cents of bank lending per dollar of reserves injected
 - ▶ The *reserve supply channel* counteracts the stimulative impact of QE
 - ▶ **Why:** Injection of reserves changes the cost of providing loans and other banks' services
 - ▶ Effect could go in principle either way
-

- ▶ **Main Finding:** Increase in reserve supply from 2008-2017 crowded out 19 cents of bank lending per dollar of reserves injected
 - ▶ The *reserve supply channel* counteracts the stimulative impact of QE
- ▶ **Why:** Injection of reserves changes the cost of providing loans and other banks' services
 - ▶ Effect could go in principle either way
- ▶ **Methodology:** Structural model of loan demand and supply of bank services estimated using instrumental variables
 - ▶ Estimate demand for loans using natural disasters as instrument
 - ▶ Estimate banks' cost of providing loans, mortgage and deposits and measure how marginal costs changes with a reserve injection

- ▶ Intriguing and policy relevant paper
 - ▶ Potentially controversial result (Kandrac and Schlusche, 2021 find the opposite)
 - ▶ Very challenging and sophisticated estimation strategy
 - ▶ **Discussion:** I will focus on the mechanism behind the result
 - ▶ Under which circumstances does the reserve supply channel emerge?
-

▶ **What is needed:**

- ▶ Banks are not the ultimate seller of the securities \iff banks' balance sheet expand

▶ **What is needed:**

- ▶ Banks are not the ultimate seller of the securities \iff banks' balance sheet expand
- ▶ Banks are facing a binding constraint **and** reserves and loans are substitutes in that constraint
 - ▶ Holding more reserves makes lending more costly

- ▶ Hardly a risk-weighted constraint → reserves and loans are not substitutes
- ▶ Leverage constraint (i.e., SLR)?
 - ▶ SLR only became a requirement in 2018 (end of the sample period)
- ▶ Tightness in regulatory constraints for banks may have changed over time during the sample period
 - ▶ Impact of increased reserves on the cost of providing loans may have been different across the three QE waves (2008, 2010, 2012)

- ▶ Increased reserves have been funded through deposits
 - ▶ **Both** reserves and deposits have increased **and** both affect the marginal cost of lending (in opposite directions)
- ▶ Do the increase in deposits **and** the type of deposits matter for the effect of reserves on bank lending?
 - ▶ Increase in reserves has been funded predominantly by wholesale funding

- ▶ Formatting of Figure 1
 - ▶ Consistency of numbers concerning time frame and size throughout the paper (page 2 vs page 4 vs conclusions)
 - ▶ A few typos (e.g., page 21, page 25)
 - ▶ Elaborate on policy implications (e.g., clarify role of CBDC)
 - ▶ Why does sample period starts in 2001?
-

- ▶ Interesting paper with very relevant policy implications
- ▶ Avenues to expand/deepen the analysis (not necessarily for this paper) could be:
 - ▶ Interaction between QE and bank regulation
 - ▶ Policy implications