

## DISCUSSION OF "THE FALSE ILLUSION OF WAGE CYCLICALITY"

BY INES BLACK AND ANA FIGUEIREDO

Frankfurt am Main, 21.11.2022

Anja Bauer Senior reseacher Insitute for Employment Research (IAB), Germany



## CONTENTS

- Overview
- Comparison
- Recommendation

- starting point in the literature Bils (1985): wages of new hires are more cyclical than of incumbent workers
- this finding is interpreted as wage flexibility for new hires
- Pissarides (2009) argues that the introduction of wage rigidity is not suitable to the solve the unemployment volatility puzzle
- recent literature challenges these conclusions, highlighting the lack of proper correction for the composition bias in many empirical models

- compare two other papers that emphasize the role of occupations for match quality
- one is by Gertler, Huckfeldt, Trigari (2020), the other by Bauer and Lochner(2020)

Paper	BF (2022)	GHT(2020)	BL (2020)
country	Portugal	US	Germany
new hire	< 12 months	< 4 months	< 1 month
time span	1986-2019	1990-2012	2000-2014

- all papers find excess wage cyclicality for new hires
- but to a different extent (institutions, wage formation, etc. )

Paper	BF (2022)	GHT(2020)	BL (2020)
Ut	-1.163	-0.147	-1.07
$U_t \cdot newhire$	-0.447	-1.642	-0.45
excess cyclicality	38 percent	1100 percent	42 percent

- papers try to control for cyclical properties of match quality
- occupations and labor market status matter

Paper	BF (2022)	GHT(2020)	BL (2020)
Ut	$-1.125^{***}$	-0.145***	$-1.04^{***}$
$U_t \cdot same, occswitcher$	-0.194***		$-0.61^{***}$
$U_t \cdot EE$ , occstayer	-0.050	-2.604***	-0.43***
$U_t \cdot ENE$ , occstayer	-0.109***	+0.612	-0.35
$U_t \cdot EE$ , occswitcher	-0.847***	+1.098	-1.02***
$U_t \cdot ENE$ , occswitcher	-0.315***	$-1.730^{*}$	-0.07

• coefficient is significant but driven by match quality. BL show that, once controlled for occupation-specific match quality (similar method as Hagedorn and Manovskii, 2013), this excess cyclicality turns insignificant.

Paper	BF (2022)	GHT(2020)	BL (2020)
Ut	-1.125***	-0.145***	-1.04***
$U_t \cdot same, occswitcher$	-0.194***		$-0.61^{***}$
$U_t \cdot EE$ , occstayer	-0.050	-2.604***	-0.43***
$U_t \cdot ENE$ , occstayer	-0.109***	+0.612	-0.35
$U_t \cdot EE$ , occswitcher	-0.847***	+1.098	-1.02***
$U_t \cdot ENE$ , occswitcher	-0.315***	$-1.730^{*}$	-0.07

- left, at least in the German case, is cyclicality for employer switchers.
- but occupations also matter for this group: for workers, who switch occupations while switching employers, the coefficient is more than twice as high. This gap is closing, but not vanishing, after controlling for occupation-specific match quality.

Paper	BF (2022)	GHT(2020)	BL (2020)
Ut	-1.125***	-0.145***	-1.04***
$U_t \cdot same, occswitcher$	-0.194***		$-0.61^{***}$
$U_t \cdot EE, occstayer$	-0.050	-2.604***	-0.43***
$U_t \cdot ENE$ , occstayer	-0.109***	+0.612	-0.35
$U_t \cdot EE$ , occswitcher	-0.847***	+1.098	-1.02***
$U_t \cdot ENE$ , occswitcher	$-0.315^{***}$	$-1.730^{*}$	-0.07

• the difference in coefficients could have many sources (unemployment vs. nonemployment, school-work-transitions, institutions, etc. )

Paper	BF (2022)	GHT(2020)	BL (2020)
Ut	-1.125***	-0.145***	-1.04***
$U_t \cdot same, occswitcher$	-0.194***		$-0.61^{***}$
$U_t \cdot EE$ , occstayer	-0.050	-2.604***	-0.43***
$U_t \cdot ENE$ , occstayer	-0.109***	+0.612	-0.35
$U_t \cdot EE$ , occswitcher	-0.847***	+1.098	-1.02***
$U_t \cdot ENE$ , occswitcher	-0.315***	$-1.730^{*}$	-0.07

- paper uses interesting proxy for match quality
- explore the power of the match quality indicator even more (distributions, relationship to other variables, other match quality indicators)
- the proxy is symmetric, over- and underskilled persons are pooled in the regression
- estimate richer model by interacting the proxy with the different worker types

- add comparisons to other paper that emphasize occupational dimension
- explain the limitations

## CONTACT

Anja Bauer Anja.Bauer@iab.de